

Communication from Public

Name: Michael Ernstoff

Date Submitted: 06/07/2022 11:13 PM

Council File No: 21-0829-S1

Comments for Public Posting: re Venice Monster Project I reside in Mar Vista and know the proposed location. I find the project ill conceived and inappropriate for the location. Please vote against the project.

Communication from Public

Name: Jeannie white

Date Submitted: 06/07/2022 01:46 PM

Council File No: 21-0829-S1

Comments for Public Posting: Who's paying the taxes? The property owners.... Who's destroying Venice ? The political machine Why is Tourism down? Venice has a negative rap & is dangerous and Millions in revenue are lost Why is there so much more crime now? The lack of laws, police enforcement, drug use, letting the homeless squat in our neighborhoods and from out of state. This project isn't solving homelessness! It's creating more squalor and fear The backend is lining pockets to the tune of \$2,700 square foot a unit! This over the top project is not helping the crisis but just allowing for another giant mistake in the battle to fight homelessness. We the citizens do not have a voice. The power is in the hands of corrupt misguided politicians and the mentally ill. Mad max is upon us

Communication from Public

Name: Ron Robinson

Date Submitted: 06/07/2022 07:04 AM

Council File No: 21-0829-S1

Comments for Public Posting: I am OPPOSED to the plan for the median. Please DENY it. This is wrong for the neighborhood. It's opposed by the majority of voters. It is too expensive and in the wrong place.

Communication from Public

Name: Andrew Walter

Date Submitted: 06/07/2022 10:21 AM

Council File No: 21-0829-S1

Comments for Public Posting: I continue to oppose the 'Reese Davidson' Development in Venice (the "Venice Monster"). The costs of this project are out of control and at \$2,379/sqft they are anything but affordable housing. Venice should continue to be a gateway to the beach for all, affordable parking allows Los Angelenos to enjoy our public beaches and parks. The Venice Neighborhood Council has passed multiple motions opposing the Venice Monster. Mike Bonin—the Project's sole proponent—will be out of office in just a few months. At least 6 of 8 candidates to replace Bonin have publicly come out in opposition to the Project. This is a massive project at the gateway to Venice Beach. It will impede beach access and irrevocably change the character of our community. No action should be taken on a project of this magnitude until Bonin's successor has been selected and taken office!

Communication from Public

Name: Benjamin Shahrabani

Date Submitted: 06/07/2022 10:57 AM

Council File No: 21-0829-S1

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council, I can't believe how many phone calls and emails the community must have sent you over the years...but apparently Mike Bonin just won't quit (well, he is quitting thank god but not for a few more wretched months) and has continued to mislead you on this project that will only benefit his crony developers and him (as a golden parachute). The community does not want this project and the economics don't make any sense whatsoever: Construction Cost \$86.9 million, City's New Parking Tower \$19.5 million, Conservative Land Valuation \$70 million. TOTAL: \$176.4 million OR \$1.26 million per 460 sq. ft. unit (\$2,739 / sq ft.) Does that make any sense? And yet Bonin is desperately trying to get this approved on his way out. Every council candidate (except the Bonin endorsed Erin Darling) has said they would kill this project as it sits day 1. You should do the same today! Sincerely, Benjamin Shahrabani

Communication from Public

Name: Amy Bookman

Date Submitted: 06/07/2022 10:57 AM

Council File No: 21-0829-S1

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in objection to the building of the Reese Davidson project on the Venice Canals, which will not substantially alleviate nor ameliorate the problem of homelessness in Venice, nor offer relief to the homeless population living on Venice Blvd and the Venice Beach. The project has not undergone proper review, and as a resident of the canals, I can attest to the unbearable noise pollution the project will engender to both the homeowners here and the avian wildlife that makes its home here in what is supposed to be a protected refuge. Additionally, I fail to see how a mechanical parking garage, proposed as a part of the project, speaks to a solution for the inhumane conditions endured by the local homeless population. From my point of view, everyone loses if this project goes forward. It will not make a dent in the lack of suitable housing available to the homeless population living on the streets and beaches of Venice, it will cost the city millions of ill-spent monies, and will severely impact the quality of life those of us who are fortunate enough to in fact live here. There are no winners here, apart from those individuals who wish to stake a false claim on having 'done something.' This entire concept needs to be re-thought, and properly reviewed, and respect current laws for environmental and coastal impact. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Glen Irani

Date Submitted: 06/07/2022 10:59 AM

Council File No: 21-0829-S1

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the subject project in its current form for the following reasons: 1. It consolidates over 40 separate parcels which defies the decades-long restrictions on tying even two lots in this area. This restriction was specifically devised to prevent projects that are insensitive to the scale and character of Venice's residential development over the last century. This project would be, by far, the single largest residential development in our neighborhoods century-long history. It will be placed at the gateway for the millions of Venice visitors annually and it will destroy the neighborhoods visual and experiential appeal to visitors and locals alike. It will undoubtedly go down as the single most damaging and misguided civic project in Venice's history. 2. The cost vs. benefit of this project continues to deteriorate with every month and is now so far beyond acceptability that we owe it to our homeless population to redirect the funds to more effective solutions. There are many more innovative and cost-effective solutions to housing the many thousands of our needy. I expect the costs to continue to rise over the next year. I recommend that this project be scrapped and the funds used more responsibly.